

Sonar induced temporary hearing loss in dolphins

T. Aran Mooney^{1*+}, Paul E. Nachtigall¹ and Stephanie Vlachos¹

¹*Department of Zoology and HIMB, University of Hawaii, Kaneohe, HI, 96734,
USA*

**Author for correspondence (amooney@whoi.edu)*

*+Current address: Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA
02543, USA*

Keywords: Auditory; marine mammal; noise; stranding; navy; threshold shift

There is increasing concern that human-produced ocean noise is adversely affecting marine mammals, as several recent cetacean mass strandings may have been caused by animals' interactions with naval "mid-frequency" sonar. However, it has yet to be empirically demonstrated how sonar could induce these strandings or cause physiological effects. In controlled experimental studies, we show that mid-frequency sonar can induce temporary hearing loss in a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). Mild behavioural alterations were also associated with the exposures. The auditory effects were only induced by repeated exposures to intense sonar pings with total sound exposure levels of 214 dB re: 1 $\mu\text{Pa}^2\cdot\text{s}$. Data support an increasing energy model to predict temporary noise-induced hearing loss and indicate that odontocete noise exposure effects bear trends similar to terrestrial mammals. Thus, sonar can induce physiological and behavioural effects in at least one species of odontocete; however, exposures must be of prolonged, high sound exposures levels to generate these effects.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human usage of the Earth's oceans has steadily increased over the last century resulting in an increase of anthropogenically produced noise (National Academy of Sciences 2003). This noise stems from a variety of sources including commercial shipping, oil drilling and exploration, scientific research and naval sonar. In terrestrial habitats, increasing sound levels have been shown to induce various effects across taxa including behavioural changes (Slabbekoorn & Peet 2003), temporary physiological alterations (Ward *et al.* 1959), and permanent anatomical damage (Kryter 1994). While it is apparent that anthropogenic noise may effect marine animals (Richardson *et al.* 1995), we know relatively less about the actual causes or mechanisms of these effects.

Marine mammals are of particular concern regarding the effects of noise as they typically have sensitive underwater hearing and they use sound for important activities like communicating, orienting and finding prey. It has been suggested that over-exposure to noise could induce permanent physiological damage and deleterious behavioural alterations (National Academy of Sciences 2003; Tyack *et al.* 2006). Within the past decade, and perhaps beyond, there have been multiple instances of cetacean mass strandings immediately following naval training activities involving tactical mid-frequency sonar (Balcomb & Claridge 2001; Evans *et al.* 2001; Fernandez *et al.* 2005). These temporally and spatially overlapping events seem to indicate that high-intensity sonar may instigate some marine mammal strandings. Recent work suggests that sonar exposure could induce a variety of effects in marine mammals including changes in dive profile, acoustically induced bubble formation or decompression sickness (Jepson *et al.* 2003; Tyack *et al.* 2006). However, these hypotheses typically lack controlled

experimental conditions to best evaluate potentially deleterious noise effects. Thus, the actual mechanisms that may be initiated by sonar exposure which could actually result in multi-species strandings have yet to be empirically supported.

We directly tested the possibility that sonar may temporarily affect odontocete (toothed whales and dolphins) auditory capabilities, and examined a model to predict the onset of these temporary hearing shifts induced by sonar and longer duration noise exposures.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted in open-water pens at the Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawaii, HI, in Aug-Oct, 2007. The fatiguing noise in the exposure situation was an actual mid-frequency naval sonar signal recorded within the Puget Sound, WA, in the summer of 2005 before a marine mammal stranding event (figure 1; figure SM1). Successive 3-ping blocks, each block spaced 24 s apart to simulate a “typical” mid-frequency sonar application (Evans *et al.* 2001), were presented to a captive-born, well-trained Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) accustomed to noise exposure experiments (Nachtigall *et al.* 2003; Nachtigall *et al.* 2004). During exposures the animal was stationed in a hoop at 1 m depth, 2 m from the transducer and behaviours were monitored by a trainer.

To evaluate temporary threshold shifts (TTS), hearing thresholds for a 5.6-kHz tone were measured before and after noise exposure using the physiological method of auditory evoked potentials (AEPs; Supin *et al.* 2001). Pre-exposure thresholds ensured that the subject’s threshold was similar to its “baseline”, or average threshold. A

threshold shift was considered when post-exposure thresholds exceeded +1 s.d. of the subject's average threshold. We based all TTS measurements off the subject's mean threshold because, between measurements, hearing thresholds often vary slightly (± 2 -3 dB). Data were also compared to hearing variation (Nachtigall *et al.* 2000) and prior threshold shift work on this and other odontocete species (e.g., Schlundt *et al.* 2000). Multiple post-exposure hearing thresholds were obtained to determine the magnitude of TTS as well as track the subject's recovery. Sonar sound pressure levels (SPL; dB re: 1 μ Pa) were gradually increased up to 203 dB SPL (rms; measured at the location of the dolphin's ear) for individual pings. The ping number was then increased over multiple exposure sessions until a threshold shift was induced. These exposure conditions were then repeated. If a second shift was not induced, number of pings was increased, resulting in higher sound exposure levels (SEL; dB re: 1 μ Pa²·s). SEL equates to sound energy and allows for both sound magnitude and duration to be considered simultaneously. These levels were increased until significant TTSs were reliably induced (deemed three consecutive sessions with threshold shifts).

3. RESULTS

Sessions in which the animal was exposed to sonar pings demonstrated significant elevations in hearing thresholds, measured at 5 and 10 min after exposure (figure 2a). However, only the five blocks of sonar pings, presenting a SPL of 203 dB (SEL of 214 dB), reliably induced shifts for 3 consecutive research sessions. Recovery back to the range of normal hearing typically (80%) occurred within 20 min after sonar exposure

(n=10) and always within 40 minutes. Control sessions, in which no sound exposure occurred, showed no changes in hearing thresholds.

Both the animal's respirations/min (mean \pm 1 s.d.; sonar = 6.95 ± 2.54 ; control = 5.38 ± 2.13) and the latency of time from surface station to noise exposure station (sonar = 8.60 ± 3.20 ; control = 5.42 ± 1.08) were significantly elevated during the sonar exposures. While this animal previously demonstrated an uneasiness during noise exposure (Nachtigall *et al.* 2003), no dramatic differences in the animal's behaviour were determined here, probably as a result of previous exposure, habituation, and good training.

4. Discussion

We compared the onset of threshold shift found in these data to that of other studies to devise a model to predict when sonar signals, as well as other types of noise, would affect odontocete hearing (Mooney *et al.* 2009). Previous studies suggest that odontocete TTS could be predicted by an equal-energy model of noise exposure (Finneran *et al.* 2005) which theorizes that if noise SELs are constant, similar threshold shifts will be induced regardless of the exposure's temporal pattern (Ward *et al.* 1959). However, this equal-energy hypothesis was not supported here because as exposure duration decreased, increasing SELs were required to induce threshold shifts (figure 2b). This was true for various types of noise (broadband noise and frequency-modulated sonar pings) where exposure levels required to induce shifts increased linearly with log-time.

To establish a new model to predict noise exposure hearing shifts, we evaluated threshold shift onset and growth using data compiled from both sonar-ping and

broadband-noise exposure experiments where the fatiguing stimuli varied across a broad range of sound pressures (157-203 dB SPL) and exposure durations (30-0.25 min) (Mooney *et al.* 2009). Both the linear model and surface plot demonstrate that when using acoustic signals of about 0.5 s, which are typical for sonar sounds, sound exposure levels must be very high, at least 210-214 dB re: 1 $\mu\text{Pa}^2\cdot\text{s}$, to induce threshold shifts. These levels were higher than previous continuous-exposure experiments (Schlundt *et al.* 2000; Finneran *et al.* 2005), perhaps indicating individual differences or that intermittent exposures might require higher SELs to induce TTS. The results do not preclude other noise or sonar-induced effects on marine mammals which may occur at lower sound levels. These data also imply that the animal must be very close to the source and/or exposed repeatedly in a short time period. Let us take these two points separately. First, a 53-C mid-frequency sonar is typically operated at 235 dB re: 1 μPa , source level (Evans *et al.* 2001). Assuming a usual sound attenuation rate of 6 dB/doubling of distance (Urick 1983), the 203 dB level used in this experiment would be the received level approximately 40 m from the sonar source, a distance that can be considered “close” with respect to naval ships. Second, the animal would then have to maintain at most that distance for the approximate 2-2.5 min of operating the sonar to receive SELs of near 214 dB. Alternatively, the animal could be located closer to the sonar source and receive a more intense signal. However, the animal would still need to remain within a close range long enough to receive the SELs that would induce auditory threshold shifts, a potentially unlikely situation.

Both scenarios entail the subject being relatively close to the sonar source for a “prolonged” duration. Exceptions may be if the sonar signals are rapidly repeated (which

is unlikely due to overlap of returning echoes) or if oceanographic conditions are such that sound levels do not attenuate regularly over short distances (i.e. < several 100 meters) and thus remain intense (Urick 1983; Evans *et al.* 2001). Perhaps such a situation could occur with multiple sonar sources over steep bathymetric conditions.

Our results demonstrate that mid-frequency sonar can induce at least temporary physiological hearing loss in odontocete cetaceans, although repeated exposures are necessary to generate effects. Further, subtle behavioural changes are also associated with sonar exposure. In contrast to previous studies, we show that sound exposure levels must increase as noise duration decreases for continued shift onset. This agrees with terrestrial mammal and pinniped noise exposure results (Ward 1991; Kastak *et al.* 2005), suggesting similarities in auditory characteristics and substantiates potential extrapolations. While these data demonstrate that marine animals are susceptible to “adverse” effects related to intense sonar exposure, it remains uncertain how frequently they are exposed to conditions in which TTS can occur.

We thank Marlee Breese, Whitlow Au, Val and Scott Veirs and MMRP for experimental assistance. Work was funded by the Office of Naval Research (Robert Gisiner and Mardi Hastings) to P.E.N. and SEAPACE (T.A.M.). All research was in accordance with the University of Hawaii’s IACUC guidelines.

Balcomb, K. C. & Claridge, E. 2001 A mass stranding of cetaceans caused by naval sonar in the Bahamas. *Bahamas Journal of Science* **8**, 2-12.

- Evans, D. L., England, G. R., Lautenbacher, C. C., Livingstone, S. M., Hogarth, W. T. & Johnson, H. T. 2001 *Joint Interim Report: Bahamas Marine Mammal Stranding, Event of 15-16 March 2000*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce / United States Navy.
- Fernandez, A., Edwards, J. F., Rodriguez, F., Espinosa, A., Herraiez, P., Castro, P., Jaber, J. R., Martin, V. & Arbelo, M. 2005 "Gas and fat embolic syndrome" involving a mass stranding of beaked whales (Family Ziphiidae) exposed to anthropogenic sonar signals. *Vet. Pathol.* **42**, 446-457.
- Finneran, J. J., Carder, D. A., Schlundt, C. E. & Ridgeway, S. H. 2005 Temporary threshold shift in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) exposed to mid-frequency tones. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **118**, 2696-2705. (doi:10.1121/1.2032087)
- Jepson, P. D. *et al.* 2003 Gas-bubble lesions in stranded cetaceans. *Nature* **425**, 575-576. (doi:10.1038/425575a)
- Kastak, D., Southall, B. L., Schusterman, R. J. & Kastak, C. R. 2005 Underwater temporary threshold shift in pinnipeds: effects of noise level and duration. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **118**, 3154-3163. (doi:10.1121/1.2047128)
- Kryter, K. D. 1994 *The handbook of hearing and the effects of noise: Physiology, psychology, and public health*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Mooney, T. A., Nachtigall, P. E., Breese, M., Vlachos, S. & Au, W. W. L. 2009 Predicting temporary threshold shifts in a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*): the effects of noise level and duration. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **125**, 1816–1826. (doi:10.1121/1.3068456)

- Nachtigall, P. E., Lemonds, D. W. & Roitblat, H. L. 2000 Psychoacoustic studies of dolphin and whale hearing. In *Hearing by whales and dolphins*. (eds W. W. L. Au, A. N. Popper & R. J. Fay), pp. 330-363. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Nachtigall, P. E., Pawloski, J. L. & Au, W. W. L. 2003 Temporary threshold shifts and recovery following noise exposure in the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **113**, 3425-3429. (doi:10.1121/1.1570438)
- Nachtigall, P. E., Supin, A. Y., Pawloski, J. L. & Au, W. W. L. 2004 Temporary threshold shifts after noise exposure in the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) measured using evoked auditory potentials. *Mar. Mammal Sci.* **24**, 673-687. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2004.tb01187.x)
- National Academy of Sciences 2003 *Ocean noise and marine mammals*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Richardson, W. J., Greene Jr., C. R., Malme, C. I. & Thomson, D. H. 1995 *Marine Mammals and Noise*. San Diego: Academic.
- Schlundt, C. E., Finneran, J. J., Carder, D. A. & Ridgway, S. H. 2000 Temporary shift in masked hearing thresholds of bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, and white whales, *Delphinapterus leucas*, after exposure to intense tones. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **107**, 3496-3508. (doi:10.1121/1.429420)
- Slabbekoorn, H. & Peet, M. 2003 Birds sing at a higher pitch in urban noise. *Nature* **424**, 267. (doi:10.1038/424267a)
- Supin, A. Y., Popov, V. V. & Mass, A. M. 2001 *The sensory physiology of aquatic mammals*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Tyack, P. L., Johnson, M., Aguilar Soto, N., Sturlese, A. & Madsen, P. T. 2006 Extreme diving of beaked whales. *J. Exp. Biol.* **209**, 4238-4253. (doi:10.1242/jeb.02505)
- Urick, R. J. 1983 *Principles of underwater sound*. New York: Mc-Graw-Hill.
- Ward, W. D. 1991 The role of intermittence in PTS. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **90**, 164-169. (doi:10.1121/1.401310)
- Ward, W. D., Glorig, A. & Sklar, D. L. 1959 Temporary shift from octave-band noise: applications to damage-risk criteria. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **41**, 522-528.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Spectrogram (a) and waveform (b) of the mid-frequency sonar ping recorded off of San Juan Island, WA and used in the experiment. The two downsweeps spaced by 0.5-s constitute one ping. Note the harmonics of the fundamental for each signal.

Figure 2. (a) Mean amount of TTS, for sonar (black bars; n=7) and control exposure sessions (grey; n=13) and s.d. Significant shifts, relative to baseline hearing thresholds, were found at 5 and 10 min after sonar exposure (one-way ANOVA, $F_{5,80} = 8.29$, $P < 0.001$; Tukey's pairwise comparison). The dotted line represents +1 s.d. greater than the mean threshold. (b) Onset of TTS found after sonar (circled) and noise (Mooney *et al.* 2009) exposure. Hearing shifts may be predicted by: exposure duration (log-min) vs. SEL (dB re: 1 $\mu\text{Pa}^2 \cdot \text{s}$) and regression ($F_{1,28} = 256.6$, $P < 0.001$, $y = 10.5 \cdot \log(x) + 204.7$, $r^2 = 0.902$). (c) Surface plot of measured and predicted dolphin TTS for sonar and noise exposures of varying exposure SPLs and durations using the equation of the line plotted in (b).

Figure 1.




Figure 2.

